

██████████/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

ERV SESSION PROCEDURES REPORT

WARNING NOTICE: INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

CONTROL NUMBER: 8609A NICKNAME: None

DATE OF SESSION: 10 Sep 87 TARGET COUNTRY: UR

REFERENCES: None SESSION NUMBER: 01

DATE OF REPORT: 15 Sep 87 MISSION STATUS: Continuing

SG1 TECHNIQUE UTILIZED: ERV SOURCE IDENTIFIER: 079

SG1A□

1. (S/NF/SK) INTERVIEWER TASKING: This project is a continuation of series of taskings levied upon this unit in the previous calendar year. The actual target is an attempt to prove or disprove the existence and/or

SG1B

If confirmed, all data pertaining to this technology are of interest to US Intelligence to include the outcome of the testing phase, military/political implications and any factors which may have or will affect the success or failure of this system.

2. (S/NF/SK) SOURCE TASKING: Source was told only that this is the first session of a multi-session target and that She had not previously been targeted against this tasking. Source was further provided encrypted coordinates of 789400/666159, as per Extended Remote Viewing (ERV) protocols, and directed to begin with the general area of the target site and move to the specifics at the direction of the Interviewer.

3. (S/NF/SK) INCLEMENCIES: There were no reportable incidents or anomalies which may have influenced the data obtained in this session.


4. (S/NF/SK) SUMMARY: Source furnished the attached summary which was prepared following the session and submitted to the Interviewer within 24 hours after the session. The completeness of the typewritten summary has been compared to the Interviewer's notes and all deletions, changes, and/or corrections have been verified as acceptable by the Interviewer. A review of this summary and the data obtained from the actual session would tend to indicate that Source has entered an Analytic Overlay Drive situation in that Her general

~~SECRET/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY~~

impressions tend to favor the existence of a more conventional weapons site, perhaps even an artillery or air defense system. This may have been the result of a more recent tasking in which the Source was directed to describe just those type of weapons. As a result of this impression by the Interviewer the data provided by Source would appear to have little if any relevancy to the tasking at hand.

5. (S/NF/SK) COMMENTS: It is proposed that Source be re-targeted on this mission with no other cues or descriptive data in an attempt to establish a more valid signal line and thus provide more definitive and worthwhile data. Although some of the data provided thus far could arguably be viewed as relevant, the general pattern of Her responses would tend to give the reader that impression that Source is trying to "force" this object into a more conventional weapon. One reason for this was stated in paragraph 3 above. Another reason for this anomaly may lie in the actual tasking itself. That is to say, if the object does not actually exist the Source may feel "compelled" to create an object to report upon. A second session will generally suffice to provide the necessary insight to the Interviewer to determine the nature of the problem experienced in a particular session.

SG1J



GSU3, DAD
Interviewer